



## 2009 Generic Cost of Capital

Cost Awards



**ALBERTA UTILITIES COMMISSION**

Utility Cost Order 2010-017: 2009 Generic Cost of Capital

Application No. 1578571

Cost Application No. 1605527

Published by

Alberta Utilities Commission

Fifth Avenue Place, 4th Floor, 425 - 1 Street SW

Calgary, Alberta

T2P 3L8

Telephone: (403) 592-8845

Fax: (403) 592-4406

Web site: [www.auc.ab.ca](http://www.auc.ab.ca)

Contents

- 1 INTRODUCTION..... 1**
- 2 VIEWS OF THE COMMISSION – AUTHORITY TO AWARD COSTS ..... 2**
- 3 VIEWS OF THE COMMISSION – ASSESSMENT..... 4**
  - 3.1 AltaGas Utilities Inc..... 4**
  - 3.2 AltaLink Management Ltd..... 4**
  - 3.3 FortisAlberta Inc. .... 4**
  - 3.4 EPCOR Energy Alberta Inc. .... 5**
  - 3.5 EPCOR Distribution and Transmission Inc. .... 5**
  - 3.6 ATCO Utilities..... 5**
  - 3.7 Consumers’ Coalition of Alberta ..... 8**
  - 3.8 The City of Calgary..... 9**
  - 3.9 AUC Advertising Costs ..... 9**
- 4 GST..... 10**
- 5 ALLOCATION OF COSTS..... 10**
- 6 ORDER ..... 10**
- APPENDIX A – Summary of Costs Claimed and Awarded..... 15**
- APPENDIX B – 25% Allocation Table..... 15**
- APPENDIX C – 75% Allocation Table..... 15**
- APPENDIX D – Total Costs To Be Paid For Each Utility ..... 15**
- APPENDIX E – AUC Advertising Costs ..... 15**
- APPENDIX F – Total Costs For Hearing Cost Reserve Accounts..... 15**

**2009 Generic Cost of Capital**

---

**1 INTRODUCTION**

1. On July 25, 2008, pursuant to section 8(2) of the *Alberta Utilities Commission Act* and in accordance with Decision 2008-051<sup>1</sup>, the Alberta Utilities Commission (AUC or Commission) issued a public notice (Notice) initiating the 2009 Generic Cost of Capital proceeding, ID. 85, Application No. 1578571 (2009 Generic Cost of Capital Proceeding or Proceeding). The Notice indicated that the 2009 Generic Cost of Capital Proceeding would review the level of the generic return on equity for 2009, the ROE adjustment formula and the capital structures of electric, gas and pipeline utilities regulated by the AUC on a utility-specific basis. The Proceeding would not deal with the cost of debt component of the cost of capital.

2. By letter dated April 21, 2009, NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd. (NGTL) withdrew from the Proceeding in light of the National Energy Board issuing a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity on April 15, 2009 for the continued operation of NGTL's Alberta System under federal jurisdiction and regulation effective April 29, 2009.

3. The Commission issued a letter dated April 13, 2009, advising parties that the NGTL evidence would remain on the record of the Proceeding and that the extent to which it would be considered by the Commission would be a question of weight.

4. In light of the anticipated withdrawal of NGTL from the Proceeding, on April 3, 2009, the Commission issued Utility Cost Order 2009-018 in respect of certain costs incurred up to and including March 15, 2009. The Commission approved costs for NGTL, the Consumer Coalition of Alberta (CCA) and the Industrial Powers Consumers Association of Alberta (IPCAA) and directed NGTL to pay 37.77% of the approved intervener costs. The 37.77% payment reflected the percentage of intervener costs paid by NGTL in the first generic cost of capital proceeding which lead to Alberta Energy and Utilities Board (EUB) Decision 2004-052.<sup>2</sup> The Commission did not direct payment of the balance of the approved costs at that time.

5. On April 13, 2009, the Commission issued Utility Cost Order 2009-018 (Errata). The Errata approved costs for the City of Calgary (Calgary), and again directed NGTL to pay 37.77% of those approved costs. The Commission did not direct payment for the balance of the approved Calgary costs at that time.

6. The Commission held an oral hearing in Calgary, Alberta from May 19 to June 16, 2009. The Panel assigned to the Proceeding consisted of Mr. W. Grieve (Chair of the Commission and

---

<sup>1</sup> Decision 2008-051 – Generic Cost of Capital – Preliminary Questions Proceeding, (Application No. 1561663) (Released: June 18, 2008)

<sup>2</sup> Decision 2004-052 – Generic Cost of Capital (Application No. 1271597) (Released: July 2, 2004)

of the panel) and Commissioners Mr. T. Beattie, Q.C., Mr. M. Kolesar, Ms. A. Michaud and Mr. B. Lyttle.

7. On November 12, 2009, the Commission issued Decision [2009-216](#)<sup>3</sup>, in respect of the 2009 Generic Cost of Capital Proceeding.

8. On October 14, 2009, a summary of the costs being claimed was circulated to interested parties. Parties were advised that any comments regarding the figures listed in the summary or the merits of the total costs claimed were to be filed by October 28, 2009. The Commission did not receive any comments.

9. The Commission issued a letter dated January 22, 2010, indicating that it would adopt the methodology employed by the EUB in allocating intervenor costs following Decision 2004-052, and followed by the Commission upon the withdrawal of NGTL from this Proceeding. This methodology provides for:

- 75% of approved intervenor costs to be allocated on a proportional basis based on each utility's 2008 revenue requirement; and
- 25% of intervenor costs to be allocated equally among all utilities.

10. The Commission's January 22, 2010 letter also directed each utility to submit their 2008 approved revenue requirement by January 27, 2010.

11. The Commission considers, for the purposes of this Cost Order, the cost process to have closed on January 27, 2010.

## **2 VIEWS OF THE COMMISSION – AUTHORITY TO AWARD COSTS**

12. In assessing a cost claim pursuant to section 21 of the *Alberta Utilities Commission Act* the Commission applies Rule 022, *Rules on Intervenor Costs* (Rule 022). Rule 022 was revised on September 30, 2008. Given that this Proceeding commenced on July 25, 2008, the Commission has assessed submitted cost claims in accordance with the wording of Rule 022 and the *Scale of Costs* in place as of that time.

13. In exercising its discretion to award costs, the Commission will, in accordance with section 11 of Rule 022, consider whether a participant acted responsibly and contributed to a better understating of the issues before the Commission, and whether the costs claimed are reasonable and directly and necessarily related to the proceeding. The Commission considers these factors in light of the scope and nature of the issues in question.

14. In the Commission's view, the responsibility to contribute positively to the process is inherent in the choice to intervene in a proceeding. The Commission expects that those who choose to participate in a proceeding will prepare and present a position that is reasonable in light of the issues arising in that proceeding and necessary for the determination of those issues. To the extent reasonably possible, the Commission will be mindful of participants' willingness to

<sup>3</sup> Decision 2009-216 – 2009 Generic Cost of Capital (Application No. 1578571) (Released: November 12, 2009)

co-operate with the Commission and other participants to promote an efficient and cost-effective proceeding.

15. As the approved costs of a utility proceeding are generally passed on to customers, it is the Commission's duty to ensure that customers receive fair value for a party's contribution. As such, the Commission only approves those costs that are reasonable and directly and necessarily related to the party's participation in the proceeding.

16. The Commission has carefully considered each of the cost claims submitted in the 2009 Generic Cost of Capital Proceeding and while most claims are substantial, the Commission considers that the efforts of parties were both helpful and warranted given the complexity and length of the proceedings. The Commission was not persuaded, however, by submissions requesting approval for fees in excess of the *Scale of Costs*. On this basis, the Commission has approved all cost claims up to the applicable *Scale of Costs*. As a result of this determination, the Commission is aware that parties will bear a significant portion of the total costs actually incurred, being those costs in excess of the applicable *Scale of Costs*. In the circumstances of this Proceeding, the Commission considers that this outcome results in a proper balancing of interests among utility shareholders, interveners and ratepayers. The Commission's findings are also in accord with the objective of the *Scale of Costs*, namely to provide "a fair and reasonable tariff to provide any interested party with adequate, competent, and professional assistance in making an effective submission before the Commission," while minimizing process inefficiencies such as the duplication of evidence and cross-examination.

17. The Commission also observes with approval the actions of parties which demonstrated efforts to manage third party costs. For example, Dr. Vander Weide appeared as an expert witness on behalf of AltaLink Management Ltd., EPCOR Distribution & Transmission Inc., EPCOR Energy Alberta Inc. and FortisAlberta Ltd. These companies also shared the legal costs associated with the joint submission. Dr. Booth appeared on behalf of both Calgary and the Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers (CAPP). In this regard the Commission also notes the Submission of the ATCO Utilities dated September 30, 2009 which indicates, at paragraph 6, that reliance on legal expertise was limited to two principal lawyers with other lawyers being used "to a much lesser extent, but as appropriate according to their level of experience, areas of expertise and the issues being addressed." Similarly, at paragraph 28 in reference to Concentric Energy Advisors, Inc. the ATCO Utilities stated:

None of the work performed by any of Mr. Coyne or Dr. Gaske's support staff was duplicative. All work performed by Concentric staff represents work that otherwise would have had to be performed by Mr. Coyne or Dr. Gaske themselves. Each task was assigned to the person at Concentric who was able to perform it most efficiently on a time and cost basis. Additional research and support staff were engaged as appropriate to keep costs as low as possible while meeting the standard of quality held by Concentric and schedule established by the Commission.

Further, the ATCO Utilities indicated in paragraph 29: "As well, in an effort to reduce the overall costs of the Proceeding, the ATCO Utilities negotiated a reduction to certain Concentric invoices..."

18. Given that it is ratepayers that pay approved costs, the Commission considers the active management of costs to be incumbent on all parties submitting a cost claim. This ongoing

management of costs greatly assisted the Commission in making its determination to approve all submitted claims up to the applicable *Scale of Costs*.

### **3 VIEWS OF THE COMMISSION – ASSESSMENT**

19. Various participants submitted cost claims totaling \$3,283,650.98 including actual GST of \$14,267.16 with respect to the Proceeding. These claims are in addition to the claims submitted by NGTL and certain interveners with respect to costs incurred up to March 15, 2009, which were considered and approved in UCO 2009-018 and UCO 2009-018 (Errata).

#### **3.1 AltaGas Utilities Inc.**

20. AltaGas Utilities Inc. (AUI) submitted a cost claim totaling \$193,228.28. The claim was comprised of legal fees incurred by Stikeman Elliot LLP in the amount of \$100,551.30, together with disbursements of \$3,306.10; consulting fees incurred by The Brattle Group Inc. in the amount of \$64,868.75, together with disbursements of \$6,934.80; and costs of transcripts in the amount of \$17,567.33.

21. The Commission has reviewed the costs submitted by AUI and considers them reasonable. The claims for professional fees and other claims are in accordance with the *Scale of Costs*. Accordingly, the Commission approves an award of \$193,228.28 for AUI.

#### **3.2 AltaLink Management Ltd.**

22. AltaLink Management Ltd. (AML) submitted a cost claim totaling \$328,490.72. The claim was comprised of legal fees incurred by Borden Ladner Gervais in the amount of \$185,767.99, together with disbursements of \$6,884.80; legal fees incurred by Davis LLP in the amount of \$ 7,992.50; consulting fees incurred by Dr. J. Vander Weide in the amount of \$27,645.00, together with disbursements of \$5,277.33; consulting fees incurred by Susan Abbott Consulting in the amount of \$68,965.00, together with disbursements of \$2,246.85; and costs of transcripts in the amount of \$23,711.25.

23. The Commission has reviewed AML's cost claim and considers the amounts to be reasonable. The Commission finds that the claims for professional fees and other claims are within the *Scale of Costs*. Accordingly, the Commission approves an award for AML in the amount of \$328,490.72.

#### **3.3 FortisAlberta Inc.**

24. FortisAlberta Inc. (FortisAlberta) submitted a cost claim totaling \$158,317.63. The claim is comprised of legal fees incurred by Davis LLP in the amount of \$112,391.60, together with disbursements of \$42.36; consulting fees incurred by Dr. James H. Vander Weide in the amount of \$27,645.50, together with disbursements of \$5,452.07; and costs of transcripts in the amount of \$12,786.10.

25. The Commission has reviewed FortisAlberta's cost claim and considers the amounts claimed to be reasonable. The Commission notes that its claims for professional fees and others claims are in accordance with the *Scale of Costs*. Accordingly, the Commission approves an award for FortisAlberta in the total amount of \$158,317.63.

### 3.4 EPCOR Energy Alberta Inc.

26. EPCOR Energy Alberta Inc. (EEAI) submitted a cost claim totaling \$49,552.82. The claim is comprised of legal fees incurred by Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer LLP in the amount of \$29,208.33, together with disbursements of \$47.93; legal fees incurred by Davis LLP in the amount of \$2,663.89; consulting fees incurred by Financial Strategy Associates in the amount of \$9,215.28, together with disbursements of \$1,756.65; and internal costs in the amount of \$6,660.74 for transcripts and accommodation.

27. The Commission has reviewed EEAIs cost claim and considers the amounts to be reasonable. The Commission also finds that its claims for professional fees and other claims are in accordance with the *Scale of Costs*. Accordingly, the Commission approves and award of \$49,552.82 for EEAIs.

### 3.5 EPCOR Distribution and Transmission Inc.

28. EPCOR Distribution and Transmission Inc. (EDTI) submitted a cost claim totaling \$97,977.63. The claim was comprised of legal fees incurred by Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer LLP in the amount of \$58,416.67, together with disbursements of \$95.85; legal fees incurred by Davis LLP in the amount of \$5,327.78; consulting fees incurred by Financial Strategy Associates in the amount of \$18,430.56, together with disbursements of \$3,513.29; and internal costs in the amount of \$12,193.48, for transcripts and accommodation.

29. The Commission has reviewed EDTIs cost claim and considers the amounts claimed to be reasonable. The Commission also notes that its claims for professional fees and other claims are in accordance with the *Scale of Costs*. Accordingly, the Commission approves a total award of \$97,977.63 for EDTI.

### 3.6 ATCO Utilities

30. The ATCO Utilities (ATCO) submitted a cost claim totaling \$2,145,137.95. The claim included the utilities ATCO Gas North (AGN), ATCO Gas South (AGS), ATCO Pipelines North (APN), ATCO Pipelines South (APS), and ATCO Electric distribution and transmission (AE). The claim was comprised of legal fees incurred by Bennett Jones in the amount of \$558,459.00, together with disbursements of \$1,646.59; consulting fees incurred by Foster Associates Inc. in the amount of \$480,508.86, together with disbursements of \$4,317.66; consulting fees incurred by Concentric Energy Advisors in the amount of \$1,027,720.59, together with disbursements of \$8,988.19; and internal costs in the amount of \$63,497.06.

31. The Commission notes that ATCO claimed in excess of the *Scale of Costs* for Bennett Jones LLP. The total amount claimed for Bennett Jones LLP is \$560,105.59.

- Mr. Smith claimed 1043.30 hours at the hourly rate of \$350 (\$365,155). Based on Mr. Smith's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$250, which for 1043.30 hours results in a total of \$260,825.00.
- Ms. Beattie claimed 639.60 hours at the hourly rate of \$280 (\$179,088). Based on Ms. Beattie's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$180, which for 639.60 hours results in a total of \$115,128.

- Ms. Buchinski claimed 31.80 hours at the hourly rate of \$350 (\$11,130). Based on Ms. Buchinski's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$250, which for 31.80 hours results in a total of \$7,950.00.
- Ms. Drozdowski claimed 0.8 hours at the hourly rate of \$280 (\$224). Based on Ms. Drozdowski's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$180, which for 0.8 hours results in a total of \$144.00.
- Ms. Illsey claimed 1.5 hours at the hourly rate of \$320 (\$480). Based on Ms. Illsey's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$220, which for 1.5 hours results in a total of \$330.00.
- Mr. Keough claimed 3.4 hours at the hourly rate of \$350 (\$1,190). Based on Mr. Keough's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$250, which for 3.4 hours results in a total of \$850.00.
- Mr. Chell claimed 3.6 hours at the hourly rate of \$240 (\$864). Based on Mr. Chell's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$140, which for 3.6 hours results in a total of \$504.00.
- Mr. Beernaert claimed 0.4 hours at the hourly rate of \$140 (\$56). Based on Mr. Beernaert's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$90, which for 0.4 hours results in a total of \$36.00.
- V.B. Storwick claimed 1 hour at the rate of \$140 (\$140). Based on V.B. Storwick's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$90, which for 1 hour results in a total of \$90.00.

32. The Commission notes that Bennett Jones LLP claimed 3.35 hours for support staff in the amounts of \$30.00 and \$45.00. Fees for legal support staff are not recoverable under Rule 022, *Rules on Intervener Costs*. Accordingly, the Commission denies this claim for support staff. The total claim for Bennett Jones LLP, reduced in accordance with Rule 22 and the applicable *Scale of Costs*, including \$1,646.59 in disbursements, is \$387,503.59.

33. The Commission also notes that ATCO claimed in excess of the *Scale of Costs* for claimants of Concentric Energy Advisors (Concentric). The total amount claimed for Concentric including their discount of \$130,374.41 is \$1,027,720.59.

- Mr. Cattrell claimed 8.5 hours at the hourly rate of \$120 (\$1,020). Based on Mr. Cattrell's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$100, which for 8.5 hours results in a total of \$850.00.
- Mr. Coyne claimed 829.25 hours at the hourly rate of \$270 (\$223,897.50). Based on Mr. Coyne's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$250, which for 829.25 hours results in a total of \$207,312.50.
- Mr. Feldman claimed 1 hour at the rate of \$230 (\$230). Based on Mr. Feldman's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$210, which for 1 hour results in a total of \$210.00.

- Dr. Gaske claimed 700.50 hours at the hourly rate of \$270 (\$189,135). Based on Dr. Gaske's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$250, which for 700.50 hours results in a total of \$175,125.00.
- Mr. Hevert claimed 17.50 hours at the hourly rate of \$120 (\$2,100). Based on Mr. Hevert's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$100, which for 17.50 hours results in a total of \$1,750.00.
- K. Hyzak claimed 48.50 hours at the hourly rate of \$120 (\$5,820). Based on K. Hyzak's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$100, which for 48.50 hours results in a total of \$4,850.00.
- J. Kahler claimed 32.25 hours at the hourly rate of \$120 (\$3,870). Based on J. Kahler's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$100, which for 32.25 hours results in a total of \$3,225.00.
- R. Levins claimed 11.75 hours at the hourly rate of \$120 (\$1,410). Based on R. Levin's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$100, which for 11.75 hours results in a total of \$1,175.00.
- Ms. Lieberman claimed 1243.25 hours at the hourly rate of \$270 (\$335,677.50). Based on Ms. Lieberman's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$250, which for 1243.25 hours results in a total of \$310,812.50.
- J. Nowak claimed 1 hour at the hourly rate of \$120 (\$120). Based on J. Nowak's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$100, which for 1 hour results in a total of \$100.00.
- A. Perry claimed 13.25 hours at the hourly rate of \$120 (\$1,590). Based on A. Perry's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$100, which for 13.25 hours results in a total of \$1,325.00.
- Mr. Simpson claimed 0.75 hours at the hourly rate of \$270 (\$202.50). Based on Mr. Simpson's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$250, which for 0.75 hours results in a total of \$187.50.
- N. Standish claimed 844.75 hours at the hourly rate of \$120 (\$101,370). Based on N. Standish's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$100, which for 844.75 hours results in a total of \$84,475.00.
- Mr. Taylor claimed 1.5 hours at the hourly rate of \$230 (\$345). Based on Mr. Taylor's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$210, which for 1.5 hours results in a total of \$315.00.
- Mr. Trogonoski claimed 746.25 hours at the hourly rate of \$270 (\$201,487.50). Based on Mr. Trogonoski's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$250, which for 746.25 hours results in a total of \$186,562.50.

34. Concentric Energy Advisors also claimed a total of 330 hours at the hourly rate of \$45.00 for support staff. The total claim for Concentric, reduced in accordance with Rule 22 and the applicable *Scale of Costs* including \$8,988.19 in disbursements, is \$1,077,097.26 which, when reduced by the Concentric discount of \$130,374.41 applied after hourly rates had been totaled is \$946,722.85.<sup>4</sup>

35. The Commission also notes that ATCO claimed in excess of the *Scale of Costs* for claimants of Foster Associates Inc. The total amount claimed for Foster Associates is \$484,826.52. Ms. McShane claimed 991 hours at the hourly rate of \$270 (\$267,570). Based on Ms. McShane's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$250, which for 991 hours results in a total of \$247,750.00. Mr. Miller claimed 240 hours at the hourly rates of \$216.47, \$214.38, and \$222.43 (\$52,211.17). Based on Mr. Miller's experience, the *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$210, which for 240 hours results in a total of \$50,400.00. Foster Associates also claimed 160 hours at the hourly rate of \$45.00 for support staff. The total claim for Foster Associates Inc., reduced in accordance with Rule 22 and the applicable *Scale of Costs* including \$4,317.66 in disbursements, is \$463,194.82.

36. As mentioned above, the Statement of Justification submitted with the cost claim did not provide a sufficient basis, in the Commission's view, to justify a rate above the *Scale of Costs*. The Commission considers the claims for Bennett Jones LLP, Concentric Energy Advisors, and Foster Associates Inc., reduced in accordance with the applicable *Scale of Costs*, reasonable and approves the reduced amounts.

37. Taking the foregoing into account, including internal costs of \$63,497.06 for transcripts and accommodation, the Commission approves ATCO's cost claim in the amount of \$1,860,918.32.

38. At paragraph 73 of the ATCO Utilities Submission of Justification filed on September 30, 2009, the ATCO Utilities requested that it be permitted to defer recovery of the difference between the allowed costs and actual costs "pending a Commission decision clarifying the regulatory cost recovery principles of regulation".

39. The Commission will not permit the requested deferral of costs for possible recovery pending future regulatory developments. As described above, the Commission has established a *Scale of Costs* reflecting a fair and reasonable tariff to provide any interested party with adequate, competent, and professional assistance in making an effective submission before the Commission. The fact that the Commission's cost rules would apply to this proceeding was made clear in its initial Notice commencing the Proceeding. The Commission observes, however, that it may be reviewing its cost rules as they relate to future utility costs in the context of a wider regulatory practices and procedures review initiative currently being considered by the Commission.

### **3.7 Consumers' Coalition of Alberta**

40. The Consumers' Coalition of Alberta (CCA) submitted a cost claim totaling \$161,781.29. The claim is comprised of legal fees incurred by Wachowich & Company in the amount of

---

<sup>4</sup> The Commission notes the aggregated total for Concentric for the ATCO Utilities is \$14.07 less than the combined amounts claimed by each of the ATCO Utilities. In order to adjust for this clerical error the Commission has added this amount to the total aggregate claim.

\$62,508.00, together with disbursements and GST of \$3,873.71; consulting fees incurred by Regulatory Services Inc. in the amount of \$75,400.00, together with disbursements and GST of \$1,203.27 and \$3,830.16.

41. As requested in the Commission's letter of March 13, 2009, the CCA filed its first cost claim for \$21,806.40 for costs incurred up to and including March 15, 2009. As a result of that claim, the CCA was paid \$8,236.27 by NGTL pursuant to UCO 2009-018. The remaining balance of \$13,570.13 will be added to this cost order and reflected in Appendices B and C.

42. The CCA filed its final cost claim on September 30, 2009. This claim was made in respect of all costs incurred in relation to the Proceeding, including those costs claimed in the first cost submission. Accordingly, \$21,806.40 has been deducted from the CCA's second cost claim.

43. Taking the foregoing into account, the Commission considers the amounts to be reasonable. The Commission finds that the claims for professional fees and other claims were in accordance with the *Scale of Costs*. Accordingly, the Commission considers the claims for fees, disbursements, and applicable GST in the second cost claim in the amount of \$139,974.89, to be reasonable.

### **3.8 The City of Calgary**

44. Calgary submitted a cost claim totaling \$137,828.91. The claim is comprised of legal fees incurred by McLennan Ross LLP in the amount of \$55,891.00, together with disbursements and GST of \$14,887.12 and \$3,538.91; consulting fees incurred by Stephen Johnson Chartered Accountants in the amount of \$44,125.00, together with GST of \$2,206.25; and consulting fees incurred by Dr. Laurence Booth in the amount of \$16,362.50, together with GST of \$818.13.

45. The Commission notes that Calgary has claimed in excess of the *Scale of Costs* for Dr. Booth. Dr. Booth claimed 49.58 hours at the hourly rate of \$330.00 (\$16,363.50). Based on Dr. Booth's experience, the applicable *Scale of Costs* allows for a maximum hourly rate of \$250, which for 49.58 hours results in a total of \$12,395.00. The total claim for Dr. Booth including GST of \$818.13 is \$13,213.13.

46. As noted above, the Statement of Justification submitted with the cost claim did not provide a sufficient basis, in the Commission's view, to justify a rate above the *Scale of Costs*. The Commission considers the claim for Dr. Booth, reduced in accordance with the *Scale of Costs*, to be reasonable and approves the reduced amount.

47. Taking the foregoing into account, the Commission approves Calgary's cost claim in the amount of \$133,861.41.

### **3.9 AUC Advertising Costs**

48. The Commission incurred costs for advertising in the amount of \$11,335.72. The Commission considers it appropriate that these costs be paid by the utilities equally.

## 4 GST

49. In accordance with the Commission's treatment of the GST on cost awards, the utility applicants are required to pay only that portion of the GST paid by interveners that may not be recoverable through the GST credit mechanism. Accordingly, where parties are eligible for a GST credit the Commission has reduced this particular portion of their claim. Eligible GST approved by the Commission amounts to \$14,267.16.

50. The Commission emphasizes that its treatment of the GST claimed in no way relieves participants or their lawyers and consultants from their GST obligations pursuant to the *Excise Tax Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c. E-15.

## 5 ALLOCATION OF COSTS

51. As per the Commission's letter of January 22, 2010, the following methodology will be applied to allocate intervener costs approved under this Cost Order:

- 75% of intervener costs will be allocated on a proportional basis based on each utility's 2008 revenue requirement; and
- 25% of intervener costs will be allocated equally among all utilities.

52. Utility Cost Order 2009-018 and Utility Cost Order 2009-018 (Errata) approved intervener costs incurred up to and including March 15, 2009 and directed NGTL to pay 37.77% of the approved costs. The Commission did not direct payment of the balance of the approved costs at that time. This unpaid balance has been added to the intervener costs approved in this Cost Order, to be allocated to utilities in accordance with the above methodology. This allocation is included in Appendix B and Appendix C.

53. A summary of participant cost claims and awards are set out in Appendix A.

54. A 25 % allocation of intervener cost awards by utility is set out in Appendix B.

55. A 75% allocation of intervener costs awards by utility in proportion to 2008 revenue requirement is set out in Appendix C.

56. The total intervener cost awards by utility is set out in Appendix D.

57. An allocation of AUC advertising costs is set out in Appendix E.

58. The total costs to be recorded in hearing cost reserve accounts are set out in Appendix F.

## 6 ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. AltaGas Utilities Inc. shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$9,574.31, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).

2. AltaGas Utilities Inc.'s external costs in the amount of \$193,228.28, as set out in column (h) of [Appendix A](#), are approved.
3. AltaGas Utilities Inc. shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant, intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$203,469.40, as set out in column (d) in [Appendix F](#).
4. AltaLink Management Ltd. shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$29,686.32, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
5. AltaLink Management Ltd.'s external costs in the amount of \$328,490.72 as set out in column (h) of [Appendix A](#), are approved.
6. AltaLink Management Ltd. shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant, intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$358,843.85, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).
7. ATCO Gas North shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$41,397.11, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
8. ATCO Gas North's external costs in the amount of \$372,180.87, as set out in column (h) of [Appendix A](#), are approved.
9. ATCO Gas North shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant, intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$414,244.79, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).
10. ATCO Gas South shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$38,596.71, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
11. ATCO Gas South's external costs in the amount of \$372,180.87, as set out in column (h) of [Appendix A](#), are approved.
12. ATCO Gas South shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant, intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$411,444.39, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).
13. ATCO Electric, Transmission shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$25,103.84, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
14. ATCO Electric, Distribution shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$44,452.11, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).

15. ATCO Electric's external costs in the amount of \$744,361.70 as set out in column (h) of [Appendix A](#), are approved.
16. ATCO Electric, Transmission shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant, intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$397,951.50, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).
17. ATCO Electric, Distribution shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant, intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$417,299.77, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).
18. ATCO Pipelines North shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$19,503.03, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
19. ATCO Pipelines North's external costs in the amount of \$186,097.44, as set out in column (h) of [Appendix A](#), are approved.
20. ATCO Pipelines North shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant, intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$206,267.28, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).
21. ATCO Pipelines South shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$10,592.63, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
22. ATCO Pipelines South's external costs in the amount of \$186,097.44, as set out in column (h) of [Appendix A](#), are approved.
23. ATCO Pipelines South shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant, intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$197,356.88, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).
24. ENMAX Power Corporation, Distribution shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$25,613.00, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
25. ENMAX Power Corporation, Transmission shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$8,810.56, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
26. EPCOR Distribution & Transmission Inc., Distribution shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$16,702.61, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
27. EPCOR Distribution & Transmission Inc., Transmission shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$9,828.89, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).

28. EPCOR Distribution & Transmission Inc.'s external costs in the amount of \$97,977.63, as set out in column (h) of [Appendix A](#), are approved.
29. EPCOR Distribution & Transmission Inc., Distribution shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant, intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$66,358.24, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).
30. EPCOR Distribution & Transmission Inc., Transmission shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant, intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$59,484.51, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).
31. EPCOR Energy Alberta Inc. shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$9,319.72, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
32. EPCOR Energy Alberta Inc.'s external costs in the amount of \$49,552.82, as set out in column (h) of [Appendix A](#), are approved.
33. EPCOR Energy Alberta Inc. shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant, intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$59,539.35, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).
34. FortisAlberta Inc. shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$34,014.22, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
35. FortisAlberta Inc.'s external costs in the amount of \$158,317.63, as set out in column (h) of [Appendix A](#), are approved.
36. FortisAlberta Inc. shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed external applicant, intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$192,998.66, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).
37. The City of Lethbridge shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$5,500.99, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
38. The City of Lethbridge shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$6,167.80, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).
39. The City of Red Deer shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$5,246.41, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
40. The City of Red Deer shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$5,913.22, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).

41. TransAlta Corporation shall pay intervener costs in the amount of \$5,500.99, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix D](#), as well as AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$666.81, as set out in [Appendix E](#).
42. TransAlta Corporation shall record in its Hearing Cost Reserve Account the allowed intervener and AUC advertising costs in the amount of \$6,167.80, as set out in column (d) of [Appendix F](#).

Dated on March 11, 2010.

**ALBERTA UTILITIES COMMISSION**

*(Original signed by)*

Willie Grieve  
Chair

*(Original signed by)*

Tudor Beattie, Q.C.  
Commissioner

*(Original signed by)*

Anne Michaud  
Commissioner

*(Original signed by)*

Mark Kolesar  
Commissioner

*(Original signed by)*

Bill Lyttle  
Commissioner

**APPENDIX A – SUMMARY OF COSTS CLAIMED AND AWARDED**



Appendix A

**APPENDIX B – 25% ALLOCATION TABLE**



Appendix B

**APPENDIX C – 75% ALLOCATION TABLE**



Appendix C

**APPENDIX D – TOTAL COSTS TO BE PAID FOR EACH UTILITY**



Appendix D

**APPENDIX E – AUC ADVERTISING COSTS**



Appendix E

**APPENDIX F – TOTAL COSTS FOR HEARING COST RESERVE ACCOUNTS**



Appendix F

2009 Generic Cost of Capital Hearing  
 Cost Application No. 1605527

(1578571)

Costs Claimed and Awarded

	Total Fees Claimed (a)	Total Expenses Claimed (b)	Total GST Claimed (c)	Total Amount Claimed (d)	Total Fees Awarded (e)	Total Expenses Awarded (f)	Total GST Awarded (g)	Total Amount Awarded (h)
<b>Participants</b>								
<b>AltaGas Utilities Inc.</b>								
AltaGas Utilities Inc.	\$0.00	\$17,567.33	\$0.00	\$17,567.33	\$0.00	\$17,567.33	\$0.00	\$17,567.33
Stikeman Elliot LLP	\$100,551.30	\$3,306.10	\$0.00	\$103,857.40	\$100,551.30	\$3,306.10	\$0.00	\$103,857.40
The Brattle Group Inc.	\$64,868.75	\$6,934.80	\$0.00	\$71,803.55	\$64,868.75	\$6,934.80	\$0.00	\$71,803.55
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$165,420.05</b>	<b>\$27,808.23</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$193,228.28</b>	<b>\$165,420.05</b>	<b>\$27,808.23</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$193,228.28</b>
<b>AltaLink Management Ltd.</b>								
Borden Ladner Gervais	\$185,767.99	\$6,884.80	\$0.00	\$192,652.79	\$185,767.99	\$6,884.80	\$0.00	\$192,652.79
Dr. J. VanderWeide (through Epcor)	\$27,645.00	\$5,277.33	\$0.00	\$32,922.33	\$27,645.00	\$5,277.33	\$0.00	\$32,922.33
Davis LLP (through FortisAlberta)	\$7,992.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,992.50	\$7,992.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$7,992.50
Susan Abbott Consulting	\$68,965.00	\$2,246.85	\$0.00	\$71,211.85	\$68,965.00	\$2,246.85	\$0.00	\$71,211.85
AltaLink L.P.	\$0.00	\$23,711.25	\$0.00	\$23,711.25	\$0.00	\$23,711.25	\$0.00	\$23,711.25
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$290,370.49</b>	<b>\$38,120.23</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$328,490.72</b>	<b>\$290,370.49</b>	<b>\$38,120.23</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$328,490.72</b>
<b>FortisAlberta Inc.</b>								
Davis LLP	\$112,391.60	\$42.36	\$0.00	\$112,433.96	\$112,391.60	\$42.36	\$0.00	\$112,433.96
Dr. James H. VanderWeide	\$27,645.50	\$5,452.07	\$0.00	\$33,097.57	\$27,645.50	\$5,452.07	\$0.00	\$33,097.57
Amicus	\$0.00	\$12,786.10	\$0.00	\$12,786.10	\$0.00	\$12,786.10	\$0.00	\$12,786.10
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$140,037.10</b>	<b>\$18,280.53</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$158,317.63</b>	<b>\$140,037.10</b>	<b>\$18,280.53</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$158,317.63</b>
<b>EPCOR Energy Alberta Inc.</b>								
Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer LLP	\$29,208.33	\$47.93	\$0.00	\$29,256.26	\$29,208.33	\$47.93	\$0.00	\$29,256.26
Davis LLP	\$2,663.89	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,663.89	\$2,663.89	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2,663.89
Financial Strategy Associates	\$9,215.28	\$1,756.65	\$0.00	\$10,971.93	\$9,215.28	\$1,756.65	\$0.00	\$10,971.93
EPCOR Energy Alberta Inc.	\$0.00	\$6,660.74	\$0.00	\$6,660.74	\$0.00	\$6,660.74	\$0.00	\$6,660.74
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$41,087.50</b>	<b>\$8,465.32</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$49,552.82</b>	<b>\$41,087.50</b>	<b>\$8,465.32</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$49,552.82</b>
<b>EPCOR Distribution &amp; Transmission Inc.</b>								
Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer LLP	\$58,416.67	\$95.85	\$0.00	\$58,512.52	\$58,416.67	\$95.85	\$0.00	\$58,512.52

2009 Generic Cost of Capital Hearing  
 Cost Application No. 1605527

(1578571)

Costs Claimed and Awarded

	Total Fees Claimed (a)	Total Expenses Claimed (b)	Total GST Claimed (c)	Total Amount Claimed (d)	Total Fees Awarded (e)	Total Expenses Awarded (f)	Total GST Awarded (g)	Total Amount Awarded (h)
Davis LLP	\$5,327.78	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,327.78	\$5,327.78	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,327.78
Financial Strategy Associates	\$18,430.56	\$3,513.29	\$0.00	\$21,943.85	\$18,430.56	\$3,513.29	\$0.00	\$21,943.85
EPCOR Distribution & Transmission Inc.	\$0.00	\$12,193.48	\$0.00	\$12,193.48	\$0.00	\$12,193.48	\$0.00	\$12,193.48
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$82,175.01</b>	<b>\$15,802.62</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$97,977.63</b>	<b>\$82,175.01</b>	<b>\$15,802.62</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$97,977.63</b>
<b>ATCO Electric</b>								
Bennet Jones	\$223,383.60	\$658.64	\$0.00	\$224,042.24	\$154,342.80	\$658.64	\$0.00	\$155,001.44
Foster Associates Inc.	\$192,203.54	\$1,727.06	\$0.00	\$193,930.60	\$183,550.86	\$1,727.06	\$0.00	\$185,277.92
Concentric Energy Advisors	\$411,088.24	\$3,595.28	\$0.00	\$414,683.52	\$375,088.24	\$3,595.28	\$0.00	\$378,683.52
ATCO Electric	\$0.00	\$25,398.82	\$0.00	\$25,398.82	\$0.00	\$25,398.82	\$0.00	\$25,398.82
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$826,675.38</b>	<b>\$31,379.80</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$858,055.18</b>	<b>\$712,981.90</b>	<b>\$31,379.80</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$744,361.70</b>
<b>ATCO Gas North</b>								
Bennet Jones	\$111,691.80	\$329.32	\$0.00	\$112,021.12	\$77,171.40	\$329.32	\$0.00	\$77,500.72
Foster Associates Inc.	\$96,101.77	\$863.53	\$0.00	\$96,965.30	\$91,775.45	\$863.53	\$0.00	\$92,638.98
Concentric Energy Advisors	\$205,544.12	\$1,797.64	\$0.00	\$207,341.76	\$187,544.12	\$1,797.64	\$0.00	\$189,341.76
ATCO Gas North	\$0.00	\$12,699.41	\$0.00	\$12,699.41	\$0.00	\$12,699.41	\$0.00	\$12,699.41
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$413,337.69</b>	<b>\$15,689.90</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$429,027.59</b>	<b>\$356,490.97</b>	<b>\$15,689.90</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$372,180.87</b>
<b>ATCO Gas South</b>								
Bennet Jones	\$111,691.80	\$329.32	\$0.00	\$112,021.12	\$77,171.40	\$329.32	\$0.00	\$77,500.72
Foster Associates Inc.	\$96,101.77	\$863.53	\$0.00	\$96,965.30	\$91,775.45	\$863.53	\$0.00	\$92,638.98
Concentric Energy Advisors	\$205,544.12	\$1,797.64	\$0.00	\$207,341.76	\$187,544.12	\$1,797.64	\$0.00	\$189,341.76
ATCO Gas South	\$0.00	\$12,699.41	\$0.00	\$12,699.41	\$0.00	\$12,699.41	\$0.00	\$12,699.41
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$413,337.69</b>	<b>\$15,689.90</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$429,027.59</b>	<b>\$356,490.97</b>	<b>\$15,689.90</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$372,180.87</b>
<b>ATCO Pipelines North</b>								
Bennet Jones	\$55,845.90	\$164.66	\$0.00	\$56,010.56	\$38,585.70	\$164.66	\$0.00	\$38,750.36

2009 Generic Cost of Capital Hearing  
Cost Application No. 1605527

(1578571)

Costs Claimed and Awarded

	Total Fees Claimed (a)	Total Expenses Claimed (b)	Total GST Claimed (c)	Total Amount Claimed (d)	Total Fees Awarded (e)	Total Expenses Awarded (f)	Total GST Awarded (g)	Total Amount Awarded (h)
Foster Associates Inc.	\$48,050.89	\$431.77	\$0.00	\$48,482.66	\$45,887.72	\$431.77	\$0.00	\$46,319.49
Concentric Energy Advisors	\$102,772.06	\$898.82	\$0.00	\$103,670.88	\$93,779.06	\$898.82	\$0.00	\$94,677.88
ATCO Pipelines North	\$0.00	\$6,349.71	\$0.00	\$6,349.71	\$0.00	\$6,349.71	\$0.00	\$6,349.71
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$206,668.85</b>	<b>\$7,844.96</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$214,513.81</b>	<b>\$178,252.48</b>	<b>\$7,844.96</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$186,097.44</b>
<b>ATCO Pipelines South</b>								
Bennet Jones	\$55,845.90	\$164.66	\$0.00	\$56,010.56	\$38,585.70	\$164.66	\$0.00	\$38,750.36
Foster Associates Inc.	\$48,050.89	\$431.77	\$0.00	\$48,482.66	\$45,887.72	\$431.77	\$0.00	\$46,319.49
Concentric Energy Advisors	\$102,772.06	\$898.82	\$0.00	\$103,670.88	\$93,779.06	\$898.82	\$0.00	\$94,677.88
ATCO Pipelines South	\$0.00	\$6,349.71	\$0.00	\$6,349.71	\$0.00	\$6,349.71	\$0.00	\$6,349.71
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$206,668.85</b>	<b>\$7,844.96</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$214,513.81</b>	<b>\$178,252.48</b>	<b>\$7,844.96</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$186,097.44</b>
<b>ATCO Utilities</b>								
Bennett Jones	\$558,459.00	\$1,646.59	\$0.00	\$560,105.59	\$385,857.00	\$1,646.59	\$0.00	\$387,503.59
Foster Associates Inc.	\$480,508.86	\$4,317.66	\$0.00	\$484,826.52	\$458,877.16	\$4,317.66	\$0.00	\$463,194.82
Concentric Energy Advisors	\$1,027,720.59	\$8,988.19	\$0.00	\$1,036,708.78	\$937,734.66	\$8,988.19	\$0.00	\$946,722.85
ATCO Utilities	\$0.00	\$63,497.06	\$0.00	\$63,497.06	\$0.00	\$63,497.06	\$0.00	\$63,497.06
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$2,066,688.45</b>	<b>\$78,449.50</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$2,145,137.95</b>	<b>\$1,782,468.82</b>	<b>\$78,449.50</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>	<b>\$1,860,918.32</b>
<b>Intervener and Commission Costs</b>								
<b>Consumers' Coalition of Alberta</b>								
Wachowich & Company	\$62,508.00	\$14,966.15	\$3,873.71	\$81,347.86	\$60,910.94	\$14,966.15	\$3,643.31	\$79,520.40
Regulatory Services Inc.	\$75,400.00	\$1,203.27	\$3,830.16	\$80,433.43	\$69,799.19	\$1,203.27	\$3,022.16	\$74,024.62
<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>\$137,908.00</b>	<b>\$16,169.42</b>	<b>\$7,703.87</b>	<b>\$161,781.29</b>	<b>\$130,710.13</b>	<b>\$16,169.42</b>	<b>\$6,665.47</b>	<b>\$153,545.02</b>
<b>The City of Calgary</b>								
McLennan Ross LLP	\$55,891.00	\$14,887.12	\$3,538.91	\$74,317.03	\$55,891.00	\$14,887.12	\$3,538.91	\$74,317.03
Stephen Johnson Chartered Accountants	\$44,125.00	\$0.00	\$2,206.25	\$46,331.25	\$44,125.00	\$0.00	\$2,206.25	\$46,331.25

2009 Generic Cost of Capital Hearing  
 Cost Application No. 1605527

(1578571)

Costs Claimed and Awarded

	Total Fees Claimed (a)	Total Expenses Claimed (b)	Total GST Claimed (c)	Total Amount Claimed (d)	Total Fees Awarded (e)	Total Expenses Awarded (f)	Total GST Awarded (g)	Total Amount Awarded (h)
Laurence Booth	\$16,362.50	\$0.00	\$818.13	\$17,180.63	\$12,395.00	\$0.00	\$818.13	\$13,213.13
Sub-Total	\$116,378.50	\$14,887.12	\$6,563.29	\$137,828.91	\$112,411.00	\$14,887.12	\$6,563.29	\$133,861.41
<b>Alberta Utilities Commission</b>								
Advertising Costs	\$11,335.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,335.72	\$11,335.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,335.72
Sub-Total	\$11,335.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,335.72	\$11,335.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$11,335.72
<b>TOTAL INTERVENER AND COMMISSION COSTS</b>	<b>\$265,622.22</b>	<b>\$31,056.54</b>	<b>\$14,267.16</b>	<b>\$310,945.92</b>	<b>\$254,456.85</b>	<b>\$31,056.54</b>	<b>\$13,228.76</b>	<b>\$298,742.15</b>
<b>TOTAL INTERVENER AND PARTICIPANT COSTS</b>	<b>\$3,051,400.83</b>	<b>\$217,982.99</b>	<b>\$14,267.16</b>	<b>\$3,283,650.98</b>	<b>\$2,756,015.80</b>	<b>\$217,982.99</b>	<b>\$13,228.76</b>	<b>\$2,987,227.55</b>

2009 Generic Cost of Capital Hearing  
Cost Application No. 1605527

(1578571)

25% Allocation Table

	Total Cost Award	25% of Cost Award	AltaGas (a)	AltaLink (b)	ATCO Gas North (c)	ATCO Gas South (d)	ATCO Electric Transmission (e)	ATCO Electric Distribution (f)	ATCO Pipelines North (g)	ATCO Pipelines South (h)
<b>Interveners</b>										
City of Calgary (per UCO 2009-018 errata)	\$42,551.49									
less NGTL payment per UCO 2009-018	-\$16,071.69									
City of Calgary (per UCO 2010-XX)	\$133,861.41									
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$160,341.21</b>	<b>\$40,085.30</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>
Consumers Coalition of Alberta (per UCO UCO 2009-018)	\$21,806.40									
less NGTL payment per UCO 2009-018	-\$8,236.27									
Consumers Coalition of Alberta (per UCO 2010-XX)	\$139,974.89									
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$153,545.02</b>	<b>\$38,386.26</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>
IPCAA (per UCO UCO 2009-018)	\$41,068.76									
less NGTL payment per UCO 2009-018	-\$15,511.67									
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$25,557.09</b>	<b>\$6,389.27</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>

	Total Cost Award	25% of Cost Award	EPCOR Distribution (i)	EPCOR Transmission (j)	EPCOR Energy (k)	ENMAX Distribution (l)	ENMAX Transmission (m)	Fortis (n)	City of Red Deer (o)	City of Lethbridge (p)	TransAlta (q)
<b>Interveners</b>											
City of Calgary (per UCO 2009-018 errata)	\$42,551.49										
less NGTL payment per UCO 2009-018	-\$16,071.69										
City of Calgary	\$133,861.41										
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$160,341.21</b>	<b>\$40,085.30</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>	<b>\$2,357.96</b>
Consumers Coalition of Alberta (per UCO UCO 2009-018)	\$21,806.40										
less NGTL payment per UCO 2009-018	-\$8,236.27										
Consumers Coalition of Alberta (per UCO 2010-0XX)	\$139,974.89										
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$153,545.02</b>	<b>\$38,386.26</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>	<b>\$2,258.02</b>
IPCAA (per UCO UCO 2009-018)	\$41,068.76										
less NGTL payment per UCO 2009-018	-\$15,511.67										
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$25,557.09</b>	<b>\$6,389.27</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>	<b>\$375.84</b>

2009 Generic Cost of Capital Hearing  
Cost Application No. 1605527

(1578571)

75% Allocation Table

	Total Cost Award	75% of Cost Award	AltaGas (a)	AltaLink (b)	ATCO Gas North (c)	ATCO Gas South (d)	ATCO Electric Transmission (e)	ATCO Electric Distribution (f)	ATCO Pipelines North (g)	ATCO Pipelines South (h)
2008 Revenue Requirement (millions)			\$39,995,380	\$220,900,000.00	\$324,000,000.00	\$299,300,000.00	\$178,900,000.00	\$350,300,000.00	\$129,800,000.00	\$50,200,000.00
<b>Interveners</b>			1.8%	9.7%	14.3%	13.2%	7.9%	15.5%	5.7%	2.2%
City of Calgary (per UCO 2009-018 errata)	\$42,551.49									
less NGTL payment per UCO 2009-018	-\$16,071.69									
City of Calgary (per UCO 2010-XX)	\$133,861.41									
<b>Total</b>	\$160,341.21	\$120,255.91	\$2,164.61	\$11,664.82	\$17,196.59	\$15,873.78	\$9,500.22	\$18,639.67	\$6,854.59	\$2,645.63
Consumers Coalition of Alberta (per UCO UCO 2009-018)	\$21,806.40									
less NGTL payment per UCO 2009-018	-\$8,236.27									
Consumers Coalition of Alberta (per UCO 2010-XX)	\$139,974.89									
<b>Total</b>	\$153,545.02	\$115,158.77	\$2,072.86	\$11,170.40	\$16,467.70	\$15,200.96	\$9,097.54	\$17,849.61	\$6,564.05	\$2,533.49
IPCAA (per UCO UCO 2009-018)	\$41,068.76									
less NGTL payment per UCO 2009-018	-\$15,511.67									
<b>Total</b>	\$25,557.09	\$19,167.82	\$345.02	\$1,859.28	\$2,741.00	\$2,530.15	\$1,514.26	\$2,971.01	\$1,092.57	\$421.69

	Total Cost Award	75% of Cost Award	EPCOR Distribution (i)	EPCOR Transmission (j)	EPCOR Energy (k)	ENMAX Distribution (l)	ENMAX Transmission (m)	Fortis (n)	City of Red Deer (o)	City of Lethbridge (p)	TransAlta (q)	Total Revenue Requirement
2008 Revenue Requirement			\$105,520,000.00	\$44,280,000.00	\$38,570,000.00	\$183,542,000.00	\$33,955,000.00	\$258,000,000.00	\$1,676,000.00	\$4,540,000.00	\$4,216,000.00	\$2,267,694,380.00
<b>Interveners</b>			4.6%	1.9%	1.7%	8.1%	1.5%	11.4%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	100.0%
City of Calgary (per UCO 2009-018 errata)	\$42,551.49											
less NGTL payment per UCO 2009-018	-\$16,071.69											
City of Calgary	\$133,861.41											
<b>Total</b>	\$160,341.21	\$120,255.91	\$5,531.77	\$2,284.86	\$2,044.35	\$9,740.73	\$1,803.84	\$13,709.17	\$120.26	\$240.51	\$240.51	
Consumers Coalition of Alberta (per UCO UCO 2009-018)	\$21,806.40											
less NGTL payment per UCO 2009-018	-\$8,236.27											
Consumers Coalition of Alberta (per UCO 2010-0XX)	\$139,974.89											
<b>Total</b>	\$153,545.02	\$115,158.77	\$5,297.30	\$2,188.02	\$1,957.70	\$9,327.86	\$1,727.38	\$13,128.10	\$115.16	\$230.32	\$230.32	
IPCAA (per UCO UCO 2009-018)	\$41,068.76											
less NGTL payment per UCO 2009-018	-\$15,511.67											
<b>Total</b>	\$25,557.09	\$19,167.82	\$881.72	\$364.19	\$325.85	\$1,552.59	\$287.52	\$2,185.13	\$19.17	\$38.34	\$38.34	

2009 Generic Cost of Capital Hearing  
 Cost Application No. 1605527

(1578571)

Total Intervener Cost Awards for each Utility

Utility	City of Calgary (a)	Consumers' Coalition of Alberta (b)	IPCAA (c)	Total (d)
AltaGas	\$4,522.57	\$4,330.88	\$720.86	\$9,574.31
AltaLink	\$14,022.78	\$13,428.42	\$2,235.12	\$29,686.32
ATCO Gas North	\$19,554.55	\$18,725.72	\$3,116.84	\$41,397.11
ATCO Gas South	\$18,231.74	\$17,458.98	\$2,905.99	\$38,596.71
ATCO Electric Transmission	\$11,858.18	\$11,355.56	\$1,890.10	\$25,103.84
ATCO Electric Distribution	\$20,997.63	\$20,107.63	\$3,346.85	\$44,452.11
ATCO Pipelines North	\$9,212.55	\$8,822.07	\$1,468.41	\$19,503.03
ATCO Pipelines South	\$5,003.59	\$4,791.51	\$797.53	\$10,592.63
EPCOR Distribution	\$7,889.73	\$7,555.32	\$1,257.56	\$16,702.61
EPCOR Transmission	\$4,642.82	\$4,446.04	\$740.03	\$9,828.89
EPCOR Energy	\$4,402.31	\$4,215.72	\$701.69	\$9,319.72
ENMAX Distribution	\$12,098.69	\$11,585.88	\$1,928.43	\$25,613.00
ENMAX Transmission	\$4,161.80	\$3,985.40	\$663.36	\$8,810.56
FortisAlberta	\$16,067.13	\$15,386.12	\$2,560.97	\$34,014.22
City of Red Deer	\$2,478.22	\$2,373.18	\$395.01	\$5,246.41
City of Lethbridge	\$2,598.47	\$2,488.34	\$414.18	\$5,500.99
TransAlta	\$2,598.47	\$2,488.34	\$414.18	\$5,500.99

2009 Generic Cost of Capital Hearing  
 Cost Application No. 1605527

(1578571)

AUC Advertising Costs split between each Utility

	AUC Advertising Costs
<b>Utility</b>	<b>\$11,335.72</b>
AltaGas	\$666.81
AltaLink	\$666.81
ATCO Gas North	\$666.81
ATCO Gas South	\$666.81
ATCO Electric Transmission	\$666.81
ATCO Electric Distribution	\$666.81
ATCO Pipelines North	\$666.81
ATCO Pipelines South	\$666.81
EPCOR Distribution	\$666.81
EPCOR Transmission	\$666.81
EPCOR Energy	\$666.81
ENMAX Distribution	\$666.81
ENMAX Transmission	\$666.81
FortisAlberta	\$666.81
City of Red Deer	\$666.81
City of Lethbridge	\$666.81
TransAlta	\$666.81
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$11,335.72</b>

2009 Generic Cost of Capital Hearing  
 Cost Application No. 1605527

(1578571)

Total Costs to be recorded in Hearing Cost Reserve Accounts

	Intervener Costs (a)	External Costs (b)	AUC Advertising Costs (c)	Total Cost (d)
<b>Utility</b>			<b>\$11,335.72</b>	
AltaGas	\$9,574.31	\$193,228.28	\$666.81	\$203,469.40
AltaLink	\$29,686.32	\$328,490.72	\$666.81	\$358,843.85
ATCO Gas North	\$41,397.11	\$372,180.87	\$666.81	\$414,244.79
ATCO Gas South	\$38,596.71	\$372,180.87	\$666.81	\$411,444.39
ATCO Electric Transmission	\$25,103.84	\$372,180.85	\$666.81	\$397,951.50
ATCO Electric Distribution	\$44,452.11	\$372,180.85	\$666.81	\$417,299.77
ATCO Pipelines North	\$19,503.03	\$186,097.44	\$666.81	\$206,267.28
ATCO Pipelines South	\$10,592.63	\$186,097.44	\$666.81	\$197,356.88
EPCOR Distribution	\$16,702.61	\$48,988.82	\$666.81	\$66,358.24
EPCOR Transmission	\$9,828.89	\$48,988.81	\$666.81	\$59,484.51
EPCOR Energy	\$9,319.72	\$49,552.82	\$666.81	\$59,539.35
FortisAlberta	\$34,014.22	\$158,317.63	\$666.81	\$192,998.66
City of Red Deer	\$5,246.41	\$0.00	\$666.81	\$5,913.22
City of Lethbridge	\$5,500.99	\$0.00	\$666.81	\$6,167.80
TransAlta	\$5,500.99	\$0.00	\$666.81	\$6,167.80

\*Note: EPCOR and ATCO Electric external costs have been distributed equally between transmission and Distribution, in keeping with the same methodology used in UCO 2004-70, and UCO 2004-70a which are the cost orders relating to Decision 2004-052