

## SSC Working Group Meeting November 24<sup>th</sup> Meeting Summary

**Meeting Date**      Wednesday, November 24<sup>th</sup>, 2010                      **Time**                      10:00 am – 12:00 pm

**Location**            AUC Offices    **Facilitator**            AUC Staff  
                                  4<sup>th</sup> Floor, 425 1 St. SW Calgary  
                                  10<sup>th</sup> Floor, 10055 106 St. Edmonton

### 1 Introductions

Name	Company
Rob Thomas	AUC
Anne Glass	AUC
Raymond Lee	AUC
Maria Baitoiu	AUC
Kirsty McDougall	AESO
Lori Harnack	Cognera Corp.
Lorne McKay	ENMAX Power
Paul Lindsay	ENMAX Power
David Lenz	Valeo Power
John Hutchison	Valeo Power
David Jackman	FortisAlberta
Angela Corsi	ForitsAlberta
Maureen Jeske	Direct Energy
Michael Ly	AltaLink
Brian Neufeld	ENMAX Encompass
Lisa Lamers-Zuger	EPCOR Distribution & Transmission
Shun Fung	EPCOR Distribution & Transmission
Naomi Broni	EPCOR Energy Alberta Inc.
Joel Milne-Epp	EPCOR Energy Alberta Inc.
Chad Crossland	AltaGas Utilities Inc.
Donna Sanderow	Encana
Jane Gauthier	UCA
Nap Pepin	ATCO Electric
Kathy McCollum	ATCO Gas
Hollie Haffie	EPCOR Utilities (Phoned in)
Ngan Duong	Just Energy (Phoned in)
Bettyann Skagen	Battle River REA (Phoned in)

## 2 Review of October 27<sup>th</sup>, 2010 meeting summary

- AUC staff asked stakeholders if changes/corrections were required for the last meeting summary. Stakeholders indicated that they have no issues. The meeting summary will be posted on the on the AUC's website.

## 3 AUC Rule 021 (Version 2.1) – Update

- AUC staff presented the rule changes to the Commission for approval in the morning.
- The Commission approved the proposed changes for the rule; therefore a bulletin will be issued. The rule will be effective January 1st, 2011.

## 4 AUC Rule 021 (Version 2.1) – Implementation dates for proposed changes

- For section 4.4 regarding interim and final settlement timing, it was agreed that the implementation would involve a transition from the old to the new. By the end of October 2011, the transition would be completed. Consequently, the new settlement timing would begin November 1, 2011.
- For the PFAM process, Section 5, starting November 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011 the process will be automated as per Version 2.1. It was clarified in the meeting that the new PFAM processes will include intervals that would have been settled under the old rules (as well as the new).
- For section 7.10, Streetlights, ATCO does not have a specific date but they will implement it as fast as possible. ATCO also agreed to communicate the migration plan to retailers before the actual implementation.
- For section 9.6.1.3 regarding DCM provisions during a retailer switch, as Version 2.1 is merely stating an existing rule that was never intentionally removed, DCM provisions during Retailer switch will have as an implementation date January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011.
- For section 9.6.1.4 – GCM transaction – parties agreed to implement on June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2010.
- For section 9.6.2.5 – WSS transaction – parties agreed to implement the change on June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2011.
- Section 9.6.4 – UCI transaction change implementation date will be June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2011.
- For section 9.6.6.3 – RSA transaction change – parties suggested that the implementation date to be tied to the PFAM section. Agreement for implementation on November 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011.
- For Appendix A – Table 9 – Transaction Status Codes – it was agreed that the full implementation date would be June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2011. FortisAlberta would begin the implementation to discontinue the use of the “0027” reason code (other) prior to June 26, with the understanding that they would not begin using the two new reason codes (8763 and 8764) until June 26.
- For Table 10 – MDM Reporting Templates – parties discussed that the MDM would need to provide two sets of final settlement reports in those transition months that have more than one final settlement run in one month.

**Action:** AESO will prepare a schedule and provide it to MDMs to follow it for their reporting during the transition period.

## 5 Working Groups Updates

- Erroneous Enrollment Group:
  - Last meeting discussions were on the scope of the group.
  - The group focused on indentifying the proactive alternatives first, and what these solutions might be in order to prevent erroneous enrollments from happening in the first place.
  - Next meeting is scheduled for January 2011.

- Transaction Group:
  - The group has decided on definitions of mandatory, optional and conditional fields. The definitions will be included in the rule, as well as tables in Appendix A for profile classes and loss classes.
  - All transactions with the exception of the UCI and RUC have been reviewed to determine which fields are mandatory, which are optional and which are conditional.
  - Agreement reached on all reviewed transactions with the exception of the ENR and DER transactions, with further discussion and investigation required to determine whether or not priority codes or dates are preferable for communicating urgency.
  - The group has not yet reviewed the UCI and RUC transactions.
  - There was no agreement on ENR transaction.
  - Recommendation that SCU transaction to be removed as retailers have no process put in place to deal with it.
- CONP Group:
  - The first meeting discussions focused on defining scope and bringing forward issues and concerns.
  - The following issues will be in scope: standardizing whether or not distributors will perform CONP disconnects for competitive retailers, whether or not to standardize locking of sites to prevent energization of sites that have not paid their previous retailer, standardization of rules when retailers can request CONP, reconnect policies, privacy issues, minimum billing.
  - A questionnaire will be circulated to give parties the opportunity to state their position and give their feedback.
  - Next meeting on January 12<sup>th</sup>, 2011.  
**Action:** The draft from the meeting will be circulated to SSC group meeting members.
- DropChute Group:
  - AUC made an update mentioning that a meeting is scheduled within the next two weeks. The score from the consultant revision and tabulating have been sent out. Responses will be sent out to group members shortly.

## 6 Other

- RIM Demonstration
  - A demonstration was made by AUC staff on how to use and post issues on RIM through the DDS system.
  - After the issue will be sent, the AUC will review it and it will send a notification to all parties that the new issue is open for comments.
  - Parties were encouraged to use the system for posting further issues.
  - Only AUC staff has the ability to close issues.
  - As an example, the questionnaire for the CONP group could be circulated through the RIM system.
- AUC asked parties if they had any issues with the Digital Certificate Upgrade. No concerns were raised by the parties.

## 7 Next Meeting

- Next meeting is scheduled for January 26, 2011.