

Electronic Notification

January 27, 2011

ENMAX Energy Corporation
141 - 50 Avenue SE
Calgary, AB T2G 4S7

Attention: Ms. Kate Leonard
Manager, Regulatory Affairs

ENMAX Energy Corporation
Regulated Rate Tariff
Electric Energy Charges – February 2011
Application No. 1606953

1. On January 25, 2011, ENMAX Energy Corporation (ENMAX) filed its energy charges applicable to its Regulated Rate Tariff (RRT) for the month of February 2011 with the Alberta Utilities Commission (the AUC or the Commission).
2. The filing included a letter from the independent advisor.¹ The advisor stated that he had reviewed the energy charges filed by ENMAX for the month of February 2011, and stated that they were calculated in accordance with the ENMAX Energy Price Setting Plan (EPSP) for 2006-2011 as amended in an application that was approved by the AUC in Decision [2008-091](#),² dated October 30, 2008. ENMAX's 2006-2011 EPSP was originally approved in Order [U2006-110](#),³ dated April 28, 2006, an order of the AUC's predecessor, the Alberta Energy and Utilities Board. The EPSP formed part of a negotiated settlement, which was considered to result in rates that were just and reasonable.
3. Additionally, the advisor submitted that ENMAX's February energy charges included uplift charges as part of the risk margin, consistent with the AUC approved amendment in Decision [2010-361](#).⁴

¹ The advisor assisted in designing the 2006-2011 energy price setting plan (EPSP) and has an ongoing role respecting the procurement of the energy under the protocols established in the EPSP.

² Decision 2008-091: ENMAX Energy Corporation 2006-2011 Regulated Rate Tariff Energy Price Setting Plan Amendment Application, Application 1575501, Proceeding ID. 66, September 30, 2008.

³ Order U2006-110: ENMAX Energy Corporation 2006-2011 Regulated Rate Option Energy Price Setting Plan Negotiated Settlement, Application No. 1455236, April 28, 2006.

⁴ Decision 2010-361: ENMAX Energy Corporation Energy Price Setting Plan Terms of Settlement Amendment, Application No. 1606247, Proceeding ID. 659, July 29, 2010.

4. The Commission, in Decision 2010-361, approved the agreed-upon methodology for risk compensation in respect of uplift charges.⁵

5. ENMAX's proposed energy charges for the month of February 2011 are as follows:

Rate class	cents/kWh
Residential	9.293
Commercial	9.293

6. AUC staff members have reviewed the filing, including the letter from the advisor, and the AUC accepts that the above-noted energy charges represent rates determined in accordance with the ENMAX EPSP for 2006-2011 as amended and approved by the AUC in Decision 2008-091 and Decision 2010-361.

7. In accordance with Section 7(3) of the *Regulated Rate Option Regulation*, AR 262/2005, as amended, the AUC acknowledges that the energy charges as set out above are applicable to ENMAX's RRT for the month of February 2011.

8. Further, as outlined in Sections 7(4) and 7(5) of the *Regulated Rate Option Regulation*, ENMAX must retain records sufficient to enable the AUC to audit any previous monthly rates set by ENMAX. Any amount overcharged to customers due to an incorrect rate calculation must be refunded to customers as soon as practicable after the error is discovered.

9. If any affected party objects to the calculation of the energy charges for the month in question, they should notify the AUC and ENMAX in a timely manner, and include the nature of their objection and the reason(s) why it should be considered.



Carolyn Dahl Rees
Vice-Chair

⁵ However, in Decision 2010-361, the Commission reiterated its right, as originally stated in Decision 2010-163, to direct Parties to initiate a forecast methodology review, should the cumulative variation between forecast and actual uplift charges reach a threshold of 50 per cent in either direction for the remainder of the term of the EPSP.